

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 HADONA DIEP, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10 APPLE INC,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 21-cv-10063-PJH

ORDER

12
13
14 On April 19, 2024, this court dismissed plaintiffs Diep and Nagao's claims 4, 5,
15 and 9 with leave to amend. Dkt. 64. That order directed the parties to file an amended
16 complaint alleging additional facts in support of those claims within 28 days. Id. On
17 May 17, 2024, the court stayed the action pursuant to the parties' stipulation for 30 days
18 to allow plaintiff Diep to retain new counsel or elect to proceed pro se. Dkt. 66. That
19 order specified that plaintiffs' second amended complaint must be filed no later than 20
20 days after either (a) the expiration of the requested stay, or (b) the entry of substitute
21 appearance on behalf of Ms. Diep, whichever occurred first. Id.

22 The stay expired on June 16, 2024, and plaintiff Diep has not appointed substitute
23 counsel to represent her. Accordingly, Diep is proceeding pro se. Plaintiff Nagao
24 remains represented by counsel. Under the terms of the stipulated order, plaintiffs were
25 required to file an amended complaint by July 6, 2024. That deadline has passed, and
26 plaintiffs have not filed any amended complaint.

27 Accordingly, plaintiffs must file an amended complaint no later than July 26, 2024,
28 or this action will be immediately dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil

1 Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: July 19, 2024

4 /s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

5 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California